Multiple-Size Divide-and-Conquer Recurrences*

Ming-Yang Kao
Department of Computer Science
Duke University
Durham, NC 27708
U.S.A.

kao@cs.duke.edu

1 Introduction

This note reports a tight asymptotic solution to the following recurrence on all positive integers n:

$$T(n) = c \cdot n^{\alpha} \cdot \log^{\beta} n + \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i \cdot T(\lceil b_i \cdot n \rceil) \quad \text{for } n \ge n_0,$$

$$0 < T(n) \le d \quad \text{for } n < n_0,$$
(1)

where

- $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0, c > 0, d > 0$,
- k is a positive integer,
- $a_i > 0$ and $1 > b_i > 0$ for i = 1, ..., k,
- $\bullet \ n_0 \ge \max_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{1-b_i}.$

Since $n_0 \ge \max_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{1-b_i}$, $\lceil b_i \cdot n \rceil \le n-1$ for all b_i and $n \ge n_0$. Thus, the T(n) term on the left-hand side of (1) is defined on T-terms with smaller n, and (2) properly specifies the initial values of T.

A special case of this recurrence, namely, k = 1, is discussed in [2, 5] and standard textbooks on algorithms and is used extensively to analyze divide-and-conquer strategies [1, 4]. A specific recurrence with k = 2 is used to analyze a divide-and-conquer algorithm for selecting a key with a given rank [1, 3, 4].

Let $g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i \cdot b_i^x$. The *characteristic equation* of the general recurrence is the equation g(x) = 1. Our solution to the general recurrence is summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 1 If r is the solution to the characteristic equation of the general recurrence, then

$$T(n) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \Theta(n^r) & \mbox{if } r > lpha; \ \Theta(n^lpha \log^{1+eta} n) & \mbox{if } r = lpha; \ \Theta(n^lpha \log^eta n) & \mbox{if } r < lpha. \end{array}
ight.$$

The key ingredient of our proof for this theorem is the notion of a characteristic equation. With this new notion, our proof is essentially the same as that of the case with k = 1 [1, 2, 4, 5]. This note concentrates on elaborating the characteristic equation's role in our proof by detailing an upper bound proof for a certain case. Once this example is understood, it is straightforward to reconstruct a general proof for Theorem 1. Consequently, we omit the general proof for the sake of brevity and clarity.

^{*}Research supported in part by NSF Grant CCR-9101385.

2 An Example

This section discusses the general recurrence with k=3. To further focus our attention on the characteristic equation's role, we assume that $\beta=0$, r is a positive integer, and $r>\alpha$. Then, according to Theorem 1, $T(n)=\Theta(n^r)$. We will only prove $T(n)=O(n^r)$. The lower bound proof is similar.

Let $S(n) = f_1 \cdot n^r - f_2 \cdot n^{r-\frac{1}{2}} - f_3 \cdot n^{\alpha}$. It suffices to show that there exist some positive constants f_1, f_2, f_3 such that T(n) = O(S(n)). These constants and some others are chosen as follows. Without loss of generality, we assume $b_1 < b_2 < b_3$.

$$f_3 = \frac{c}{g(\alpha) - 1};$$
 $f_2 = \text{any positive constant};$
 $f_1 = f_2 + f_3 + 1;$
 $m_0 = \max\{n_0, \frac{1}{b_1}, \left(\frac{f_1 \cdot 2^r \cdot \frac{1}{b_1}}{f_2 \cdot (g(r - \frac{1}{2}) - 1)}\right)^2\};$
 $M = \max_{n \le m_0} \{1, T(n)\}.$

Note that since $0 < b_i < 1$ for all b_i , g is a decreasing function. Then since $r > \alpha$ and $r > r - \frac{1}{2}$, $g(\alpha) > 1$ and $g(r - \frac{1}{2}) > 1$. Thus, the above constants are all positive. We next consider the following new recurrence:

$$R(n) = c \cdot n^{\alpha} + a_1 \cdot R(\lceil b_1 \cdot n \rceil) + a_2 \cdot R(\lceil b_2 \cdot n \rceil) + a_3 \cdot R(\lceil b_3 \cdot n \rceil) \quad \text{for } n \ge m_0,$$

$$R(n) = 1 \quad \text{for } n < m_0.$$
(3)

It can be shown by induction that $T(n) \leq M \cdot R(n)$ for all n. Thus, to prove T(n) = O(S(n)), it suffices to show $R(n) \leq S(n)$ for all n.

Base Case: $R(m) \leq S(m)$ for all $m < m_0$. This follows from the choice of f_1 .

Given some $n \geq m_0$, we need to show $R(n) \leq S(n)$.

Induction Hypothesis: $R(m) \leq S(m)$ for all integers m where $m_0 \leq m < n$.

Induction Step:

$$R(n) \leq c \cdot n^{\alpha} + a_{1} \cdot S(\lceil b_{1} \cdot n \rceil) + a_{2} \cdot S(\lceil b_{2} \cdot n \rceil) + a_{3} \cdot S(\lceil b_{3} \cdot n \rceil)$$

$$\leq c \cdot n^{\alpha} + f_{1} \cdot g(r) \cdot (n + \frac{1}{b_{1}})^{r} - f_{2} \cdot g(r - \frac{1}{2}) \cdot n^{r - \frac{1}{2}} - f_{3} \cdot g(\alpha) \cdot n^{\alpha}$$

$$(5)$$

$$\leq c \cdot n^{\alpha} + f_1 \cdot g(r) \cdot n^r + f_1 \cdot 2^r \cdot n^{r-1} \cdot \frac{1}{b_1} - f_2 \cdot g(r - \frac{1}{2}) \cdot n^{r-\frac{1}{2}} - f_3 \cdot g(\alpha) \cdot n^{\alpha}$$
 (6)

In this above derivation,

- (4) follows from (3), the inequality $m_0 \ge n_0$, the base step and the induction hypothesis;
- (5) follows from the fact that $\lceil b_i \cdot n \rceil \leq b_i \cdot (n + \frac{1}{b_1});$
- (6) follows from the fact that $(n + \frac{1}{b_1})^r \le n^r + 2^r \cdot n^{r-1} \cdot \frac{1}{b_1}$ because r is a positive integer and $m_0 \ge \frac{1}{b_1}$.

To finish the induction step, note that the right-hand side of (6) is at most S(n) as desired for the following reasons.

- By the choice of f_3 , $c \cdot n^{\alpha} + f_3 \cdot g(\alpha) \cdot n^{\alpha} \leq -f_3 \cdot n^{\alpha}$.
- Since $m_0 \ge \left(\frac{f_1 \cdot 2^r \cdot \frac{1}{b_1}}{f_2 \cdot \left(g(r-\frac{1}{2})-1\right)}\right)^2$, $f_1 \cdot 2^r \cdot n^{r-1} \cdot \frac{1}{b_1} f_2 \cdot g(r-\frac{1}{2}) \cdot n^{r-\frac{1}{2}} \le -f_2 \cdot n^{r-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank Don Rose for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] A. V. Aho, J. E. Hopcroft, and J. D. Ullman. The Design and Analysis of Computer Algorithms. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1974.
- [2] J. L. Bentley, D. Haken, and J. B. Saxe. A general method for solving divide-and-conquer recurrences. SIGACT News, 12(3):36-44, 1980.
- [3] M. Blum, R. W. Floyd, V. Pratt, R. L. Rivest, and R. E. Tarjan. Time bounds for selection. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 7(4):448-461, 1973.
- [4] T. H. Cormen, C. L. Leiserson, and R. L. Rivest. Introduction to Algorithms. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1991.
- [5] G. S. Lucker. Some techniques for solving recurrences. ACM Computing Surveys, 12(4):419-436, 1980.